

PDF Of An Email Sent To Gail Riplinger

08/14/2010

Dear Mrs. Riplinger,


You said:

“Williams was caught in a bald faced lie when he said that I sent Waite a letter and “It was written on lawyer’s paper.” I will donate \$1,000 to D.A. Waite’s internet church (of which Williams, who lives in another state, said he was a member), if Waite or Williams can product a letter “written on lawyer’s paper.” No such letter was ever sent to Waite. No lawsuit was ever threatened.”

Attached is the threatening letter by you to Dr. and Mrs. Waite. You used this letter/email to threaten them with specifically and particularly these words:

“My attorney, Hugh A. Richeson, has requested that I enumerate these misstatements for you (**See email ATTACHMENT**) and ask that you immediately publish retractions and apologies, in the same format and to the same audiences. Send me notification and documentation that you have done so. If I have not received said notification by Jan. 18, 2010 (two weeks), I will be forced to rectify the situation through those avenues open to me.”

Furthermore, you sent a copy of your letter/email to the attorney! Furthermore, you were advised by a lawyer. Furthermore, you placed the link to the attorney’s webpage. This constitutes “lawyer’s paper.” Here is a picture of the attorney’s webpage who is a TRIAL ATTORNEY.



Why are you twisting everything? I am shocked that you would not understand the "spirit" of what is being pointed out to you concerning many things; specifically your threats, your marriages, and your lies about something so important. I could go on about the responsibility of women, teachers, and many other things, but I will not!

Also, you forgot to include in your 9 page attack against me and several others what I said at the DBS meeting. I said that if I received an email/letter similar to the one you sent the Waites when I was in practice, I would be required to report it to the insurance company, or if I did not, I would lose my coverage. Surely Dr. Sightler would tell you the same thing. Did you give him a copy of your letter to Dr. and Mrs. Waite and their son. The letter you wrote to them is VERY serious. It is a mistake of monumental proportions. Why are you not repenting of this, but rather you are defending a defenseless position. In all honesty (and not because of your 'spin'), you should pay BFT ministries \$1000.00.

Furthermore, you said:

"He put a quote on the screen, which he said was from King James. However, to support his point, Williams changed James's quote, adding the words 'Greek,' 'Hebrew' and 'Aramaic.' However, such words are not in the original quote! Only men who work in the devil's rumor mill would draw their listeners into the top bin of their Cultinator 2010, grind the truth to a powder, and watch mindless cult-like members pop out of the bottom."

If you watched the DBS videos or live-streaming, you should know I bracketed the insert [Hebrew, Aramaic, and Greek] in the quote by King James to highlight this point to his son. He knew that we received the Bible in Hebrew, Aramaic, and Greek. Since you use brackets throughout your works, you know what that represents and so does everyone else. The point of the matter is that King James was a multi-linguist. He knew Hebrew, Greek, Latin, and several other languages. He also commanded Bishop Bancroft to order the translators to translate from the originals [Hebrew, Aramaic, and Greek], but change as little as possible in the Bishops Bible. He understood what the Truth was and the use of "the foundation" "once delivered." Again you have twisted the point being made. Don't you know that King James ordered the original languages to be used as well as other English Bibles, but favoring the Bishops? That is the import and spirit of the letter to his son in light of everything we know about King James.

By the way, we are now posting on the internet for the world to see your threatening letter to Dr. and Mrs. Waite.

When I wrote to you about one to two years ago and asked about your marriages, why didn't you answer me forthrightly at that time? Just wondering, but now I think I know why. It is you who cannot bear the Truth. All you would have had to say is that "Yes, I was married before and divorced because of private matters." But I now

believe you did not want this information out because it would have been a threat to your agenda. In my opinion and the opinion of many others, Biblical doctrine is clear on these matters.

We all make mistakes. None of us are right all the time. But what you are doing is wrong, wrong, wrong. I cannot say it any clearer than that.

In Christ,
H. D. Williams, M.D., Ph.D