

BFT Update–April-May, 2010

THE BIBLE FOR TODAY MINISTRIES

900 Park Avenue/Collingswood, New Jersey 08108

E-MAIL: BFT@BibleForToday.org/ WEB PAGE: [www. BibleForToday.org](http://www.BibleForToday.org)



**Pastor D. A. & Mrs. Yvonne S. Waite On Their Sixty-First Wedding Anniversary
August 27, 2009**

Phones: 856-854-4452, order-phone only: 1-800-John 10:9

THREE NEW BOOKS FOR YOU!

BOOK #1

DR. WAITE'S FIRST QUESTION & ANSWER BOOK

**THE FIRST 200 QUESTIONS
ANSWERED BY DR. D.A. WAITE**

BFT #3309-1 @ \$12.00 + \$7.00 S&H

REAL QUESTIONS FROM REAL PEOPLE WITH REAL ANSWERS

**A ONE HUNDRED SEVENTY-ONE PAGE BOOK FULL OF
200 QUESTIONS AND 200 ANSWERS
FROM THE PEN OF DR. WAITE**

DR. WAITE'S INTRODUCTORY REMARKS TO THIS BOOK:

"Through the years, I have received hundreds of question from our BIBLE FOR TODAY friends who live in various parts of the world. The question that I have struggled with is what to do with these questions."

fifteen chapters with questions on the following:

1. The King James Bible	2. The Textus Receptus (TR)	3. The Inspiration of Bible
4. Bible Translations Problems	5. Bible Interpretation	6. Hebrew and the Old Testament
7. Bible Preservation	8. Various Bible Versions	9. Problem Verses
10. Doctrinal and Positional Problems	11. Various Texts of the Bible	12. Officers and Women Preachers
13. The Family, Wives, and Children	14. Miscellaneous Topics	15 Miscellaneous Questions & Standards

A LOOK AT SOME OF THE QUESTIONS IN THE BOOK

Are you planning to update the KJB?

- Q.-I heard that *The Defined King James Bible* . . . is headed in the direction of updating the KJV.
- Q.-An accusation has been made against Dr. Frederick Scrivener's Greek text . . . Is it true?
- Q.-Is it accurate to say that Erasmus produced the first critical text of the New Testament Greek?
- Q.-Who was Theodore Beza? Q.--I would like to know your position on the Geneva Bible.
- Q.-Is the King James Bible inspired? Q.- Is the KJB-Not Inspired But Translated?
- Q.-What do you think was the reason that "*flagon*" was used to indicate "*raisin cake*"?

Is there a verse that says people made fun of Noah?

- Q.-Does the wording "*a thing to be grasped after*" (Philippians 2:6) have any merit?
- Q.-The Korean Bible closest to the King James Bible says, "Can the fig tree. . .bear olive fruits?"
- Q.-Missionaries in Japan have told that there is no Bible available. . . on the TR. Is that true?
- Q.-Why is. . .the King James Bible. . .the only translation, which puts an "s" on cherubim?
- Q.-Tell me. . . in Genesis 6:2-4. . .when they talk about the "*sons of God*" and the "*sons of men.*"
- Q.-Is there any verse. . .that indicates that the people made fun of Noah for building an ark?

Am I wrong when I don't want to be in "old time Sunday"?

- Q.-Should we extend the "*perfect preservation*" to King James Version?
- Q.-I am currently reading "*The Elephant In The Living Room.*" In it, Gail Riplinger believes that the Scrivener's Greek NT is NOT the Textus Receptus underlying the KJV. . .Is this not confusion?
- Q.-Should we be in favor of the NIV because someone might be "*led to Christ*" by using it?
- Q.-Am I doing wrong when I do not want to participate in. . .old time Sunday. . .?
- Q.-I need some advice. What are the beliefs of the Seventh Day Adventists?
- Q.-I was wondering if you could give me some information on the Jay P. Green Interlinear. . .?

moom #n

CLEANING UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

A REFUTATION OF GAIL RIPLINGER'S HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

by PASTOR KIRK DIVIETRO, Th.M., Ph.D.

ORDER: BFT #3457 @ \$25.00 + \$10.00 S&H

You must order this 416-page book that refutes Riplinger's fanciful 1200-page book, *Hazardous Materials*, which condemn and castigates Greek-study-helps, as well as words and attitudes of scholars of the past and present.

DIVIETRO GIVEN THE BOOK TO READ

UNTRUTHS & EXAGGERATIONS

I REMEMBER WHEN DR. DiViETRO told my husband that someone, out of the blue, handed him RIPLINGER'S BOOK on HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, and said, "What do you think of this book?" Dr. DiVietro had never heard of the book! He went home and began to read. He couldn't believe his eyes! He saw UNTRUTHS, EXAGGERATIONS, and FANCIFUL TALES. IT APPEARS THAT GAIL RIPLINGER THINKS ALL DICTIONARIES, LEXICONS AND BIBLE HELPS ARE NO GOOD--as well as the original Hebrew and Greek languages in which the Bible was written,

as being absolutely worthless for the Christian's edification.

IMMEDIATELY, DR. DIVIETRO BEGAN TALKING INTO SOME KIND OF COMPUTER GADGET THAT TYPED HIS WORDS ON HIS COMPUTER SCREEN. Not being a well man himself, at the time, it was more convenient for him. (Of course, it made a greater challenge for his editor, Dr. H. D. Williams.) This was the method how Dr. DiVietro's spoken word became the refutation of Gail Riplinger's **HAZARDOUS MATERIALS**. **Appropriately, Dr. DiVietro's book is entitled CLEANING UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS (BFT #3457 @ \$25.00 + \$10.00 S&H)** You must get it!

DIVIETRO QUOTES RIPLINGER'S STRANGE THESIS

DR. DIVIETRO WROTE ON HIS PAGE 12, CONCERNING GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER'S WORK:

"The foundational thesis of Hazardous Materials (Riplinger's book) is that ALL STUDY AIDS ARE UNNECESSARY AND, IN FACT, DETRIMENTAL TO UNDERSTANDING THE WORDS OF GOD."

RIPLINGER SAYS THE APOSTLES WROTE THE BIBLE IN MANY LANGUAGES

DIVIETRO GOES ON TO SAY ON PAGE 12 (middle of the page), "ACCORDING TO THIS THESIS (Mrs. Riplinger's) THE KING JAMES BIBLE IS NOT THE RESULT OF TRANSLATING HEBREW, ARAMAIC, AND GREEK ORIGINALS INTO ENGLISH. THE KING JAMES BIBLE IS SUPPOSEDLY THE RESULT OF PURIFYING AN ORIGINAL INSPIRED ANGLO-GERMANIC VERSION OF THE BIBLE . . ." According to Gail Riplinger's theory: On the day of Pentecost, God gave the apostles the ability to write the Scriptures in every language of Acts 2 and possibly in every active language in the world of that day. This position is what my husband calls HERESY.

RIPLINGER DOGMATIC ON ROBINSON'S INVOLVEMENT

THIS REPORTER IS PERSONABLY INTERESTED IN A LETTER FROM DR. MAURICE A. ROBINSON WHERE HE REFUTES WHAT GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER WROTE ABOUT HIM. RIPLINGER declared that Mrs. Waite was wrong, and she (Riplinger) was right in regards to Dr. Robinson's involvement with *THE INTERLINEAR LITERAL TRANSLATION OF THE GREEK NEW TESTAMENT*. This reporter first became aware of her false representation of Dr. Robinson when someone sent her a **HAZARDOUS** brochure. **The person who sent it to me, mailed it because of the false statements Riplinger said about our son, D. A. Waite, Jr.** It was while reading the brochure, that I saw what Riplinger was actually saying about Dr. Maurice Robinson.

DR. M. ROBINSON'S REFUTATION TO RIPLINGER

YOU CAN READ DR. ROBINSON'S REFUTATION OF THE RIPLINGER'S FALSE STATEMENTS CONCERNING HIM WHICH IS FOUND ON PAGES 281-285. AT THE HEAD OF THE PAGE of CLEANING UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS by DiVietro: ". . . My only work on any interlinear Bible was for Jay Green (in the 1970's), and then only as Associate General Editor of the Old Testament portion, and not of the Greek New Testament portion (which section was done solely by Green himself). I have not been and (by reason of time frame) could *never* have been involved as an "editor" of George Ricker Berry's Interlinear published in 1897 (actually Thomas Newberry's work, to which Berry only added a lexicon and synonym studies; however, since Newberry's name appears nowhere within that volume, I will refer to it as the Berry Interlinear)."

ROBINSON WROTE: "I NOWHERE SEE THAT LOGOS EVER SAID I WAS ONE OF THE 'EDITORS'"

At the bottom of that same page (Page 282) and for a couple other pages, Dr. Robinson explains further the truth concerning HIS INVOLVEMENT with BERRY'S INTERLINEAR published in 1897. I will summarize his words. (You can read them for yourself in CLEANING-UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. (BFT #3457 @ \$25.00 + \$10.00 S&H)

Dr. Robinson agrees that he has supplied various kinds of information to "Bible Software manufactures." He lists the Greek texts in his answer. (HIS PREFERENCE IS THE BYZANTINE GREEK TEXT FORM. DR. WAITE IS A TEXTUS RECEPTUS MAN.) Some use ROBINSON'S name on texts differently than he believes. Then he wrote at the top of DIVIETRO'S page 283 in CLEANING-UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS (BFT #3457) the following:

"I NOWHERE SEE IN THE ATTACHED ADVERTISEMENTS THAT Logos ever said I was one of the "editors" of the Berry Interlinear. Certainly, if Logos themselves decided to incorporate Berry's interlinear translation into their software module, that action had nothing whatever to do with me or my work, which was restricted solely to providing the Greek text of the Stephens 1550 Textus Receptus corresponding to that which is printed in Berry's volume--a text that is merely

reproduced and not “edited” at all; this raw text as supplied was also accompanied by Strong’s numbers and declension/parsing information equally supplied by me.”

This BFT reporter knows you will find the rest of Dr. Robinson’s statements edifying. Certainly it sets GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER back on her heels a bit in her dogmatism concerning Dr. Waite’s alleged ignorance as to what is going on in the “textual world.”

WHERE IS RIPLINGER’S “LAW OF KINDNESS”?

YOU, WHO HAVE READ HER 61-PAGE DIATRIBE AGAINST MY HUSBAND AND ME. KNOW, SHE HAS INFERRED THAT DR. & MRS. WAITE ARE SENILE, OLD PEOPLE WHO NO LONGER CAN THINK, REMEMBER, AND TALK—NOT ALONE WALK! She infers that we interrogated her like a Catholic priest in a confessional box. (I have inquired of Catholics and they say that no such inquisition happens.) She says we are “WONKY”—a most unkind, unchristlike word to use against anyone, even if they might be *shaky, tottery, and feeble.* (These attributes fit neither my husband or me at the present!) If any of my readers are senior citizens, you should be alarmed at such inconsiderate words and judgments from her pen. JUST BECAUSE A PERSON IS AS OLD AS MOSES WAS WHEN HE BEGAN HIS WORK FOR GOD, DOES NOT MEAN THAT A PERSON IS INCAPABLE OF PROPER MENTAL AND PHYSICAL FUNCTION. We may be “old,” but we are not moldy! “THE LAW OF KINDNESS” IS NOT IN RIPLINGER’S PEN, that’s for sure!! The writer of Proverbs wrote concerning a *virtuous* woman: “SHE OPENETH HER MOUTH WITH WISDOM; AND IN HER TONGUE IS THE LAW OF KINDNESS.**” (Proverbs 31:16)**

THE APOSTLE JOHN WROTE EXTENSIVELY IN HIS SENIOR YEARS

Recently in reading III JOHN, I was greatly comforted. The beloved apostle, lived way into his senior years! He wrote his most brilliant work as a captive on Patmos Island. It is called THE REVELATION OF JESUS CHRIST. Besides that, in his nineties, he wrote, led by the Spirit of God, his other four works that bear his name at an age that *disrespectful* GAIL RIPLINGER calls “*wonky.*”

RIPLINGER’S MOTHER DIED AT SIXTY-EIGHT

Mrs. Riplinger’s mother died at the age of sixty-eight. Gail’s mother did not have the opportunity of becoming “wonky.” Her *alcoholic father*, beat Gail, according to her daughter who wasn’t there, and he died when Gail Riplinger was a toddler. Listening carefully to my RIPLINGER 1995 INTERVIEW in Durham, NC, Riplinger said she was a year-and-a-half old when her father died. Could GAIL RIPLINGER remember all this child beating herself?

So, Riplinger--this vilifier of reputations--did not have the privilege of watching and enjoying her parent’s age. Would she have been gracious to them, if they had lived to be in their eighties? The only older man in her life, it seems, was her alcoholic grandfather who locked her in a car when he was in a bar. This is all according to Riplinger’s daughter who wasn’t there. I know nothing of her grandmother. (Bryn Riplinger has not filled us in on her.) It appears that Gail Riplinger’s present example of “*old age*” has been skewed by her own past memories.

RIPLINGER BLASTS OFF LIKE A ROCKET

Perhaps those unkind words are reserved only for us WAITES or for people who dare to ask her personally about her three marriages and two divorces—or question her accuracy on other matters. A question, by the way, which she, personally, has failed to answer honestly in person to us, or in writing to the world. It is her daughter, who was not there, who explains the situation in fancy writing, leaving many questions unanswered in the minds of the reader. And GAIL RIPLINGER--instead of explaining about her past marriages herself--twirls around, BLASTING OFF like a rocket to defame the questioners.

GAIL RIPLINGER LIES ABOUT SCRIVENER’S “BACKWARDS TRANSLATING”

MRS. LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER INSISTS, IN HER HAZARDOUS BOOK, THAT D. A. WAITE, JR. SAID SOMETHING HE DID NOT SAY. Riplinger claims that he said that Dr. F. H. A. Scrivener “backwards translated” the Textus Receptus Greek from English to Greek. **ABSOLUTELY FALSE!** DiVietro, (p. 198), quotes Riplinger.

Fancifully, Riplinger wrote, “D. A. Waite, Jr. notes in his English translation of Scrivener’s original edition that Scrivener’s assignment was to ‘backwards translate’ the KJB.” This same **FALSEHOOD** is mentioned in her brochure for her Hazardous book. In fact, your reporter saw it there long before she ever saw the book.

NO “BACKWARDS TRANSLATING” FROM ENGLISH TO GREEK

No mention was made of other “backwards translating” from English to Greek by our son. I think she is now trying to weasel her way out of her own words in a proposed second printing of her book.

D. A. WAITE, JR. ANSWERS RIPLINGER'S BOGUS STATEMENTS

DON, Jr. WROTE IN A LETTER, "YOU CAN SEARCH IN VAIN FOR THE BOGUS STATEMENTS ATTRIBUTED TO ME AND TO DR. SCRIVENER. THEY SIMPLY DON'T EXIST." (See pages 199-204 in **CLEANING UP**) There you can read the whole letter from our son, the footnote author and editor of **THE DEFINED KING JAMES BIBLE** (BFT #3000 various prices and sizes for hardbacks or leather + \$8.00 S&H) and **THE DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENT** (BFT #3138 @ \$25.00 + \$8.00 S&H) for yourself, when you purchase **CLEANING-UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS: A Refutation of Gail Riplinger's Hazardous Materials** (BFT #3457 @ \$25.00 + \$10.00 S&H)

THE CASE OF THE TWO "DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENTS"

Because GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER is bent out of shape over the fact that she thinks I don't remember a big yellow 8½ x 11 inch format, **pre-publication COPY-MACHINE MANUAL**, let's talk about the two **THE DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENTS**. One was in copy machine format, a PRE-PUBLICATION EDITION, compiled in 2002. (with page numbering different) **This is the one Gail Riplinger has in her hands. It was run off one year BEFORE the actual printed edition came out.** The ONLY official one is a small hard-back professionally printed book. Let me repeat: **The only professionally printed DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENT is BFT #3138 @ \$25.00 + \$8.00) it is a small size, black, hard-back New Testament!!** It is an English edition of Scrivener's Greek Text, printed and copyrighted in 2003. D. A. Waite, Jr, our oldest son, compiled this excellent tool for the non-Greek reader.

ONLY ONE COPY SEEN BY THE AUTHOR

So when I read Riplinger's original comments about "**backwards translating**," my husband gave me that pre-publication, **LARGE YELLOW BOOK**. Apparently, Mrs. Riplinger thinks, because of my age, that I forgot about this pre-publication copy. She assumes much about my husband and me and our ability to function as mature human beings! Just because she herself *may be* declining in mental acumen (at 63 or 64), does not mean we are. She knows nothing about us except what her fertile imagination has conjured up.

TRUE--OUR SON, THE AUTHOR, DID NOT KNOW THAT A LARGE 8 ½" x 11" COPY EXISTED! He never saw it. He only saw the small printed one. He does not live in Collingswood and is not privy to everything we do here. Before I made a radio program (JFW/124-CD @ \$10.00 + \$3.00 S&H) with D. A., Jr., I couldn't find that BIG, YELLOW, PRE-PUBLICATION COPY MACHINE BOOK to show to him! It was lost somewhere. We found it and asked our shipper to send him a copy. All this fuss about nothing!—the Riplinger style! ANOTHER TRY TO IMPEACH THE WITNESS!

D. A., JR. REFUTES RIPLINGER'S LIES

Every statement our oldest son made on my radio program when we recorded "D. A. WAITE, JR. REFUTES RIPLINGER'S LIES" (JFW/124-CD @ \$10.00 + \$3.00 S&H) was true. Riplinger is saying he is a liar and I a senile, old, stumbling woman. She also said that Don, Jr. gave the impression that he did not use any lexicons in defining the difficult words in the **DEFINED KING JAMES BIBLE** (BFT #3000 various prices). SHE IS HAVING A VERBAL ONE-WAY BATTLE WITH HIM AND THE DEFINED KING JAMES BIBLE.

I think she is talking about the above mentioned JFW/124 radio program. My husband and I have listened and it is not there. As I was writing this UPDATE, I listened to three previous **Just For Women** radio programs with our son as guest, and could not find what she attributes to him. I do not know to which programs she is referring.

Don, Jr. told me personally that he used five regular dictionaries to define the words in the DKJB; and only, when necessary, used Hebrew or Greek helps to define a word. Just because one of Gail Ludwig Latessa Kaleda Riplinger's spies received an e-mail from D. A., Jr. saying what Greek works he had and may have used, does not mean that all, or even most, of the definitions came from them. **She is doing everything in her power to discredit THE DEFINED KING JAMES BIBLE**, I suppose because she has written a book explaining how the King James words define themselves. I read that book. It's a stupid theory. (That's when I stopped reading Riplinger!)

TWO RADIO PROGRAMS ON "THE DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENT"

Our son and I made two other radio programs that night late on the **DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENT** (JFW/126 @ \$10.00 + \$3.00 S&H) which Mrs. Riplinger could not have heard prior to her tirade. They are aired on radio stations now and on our website (www.BibleForToday.org) as well as on SermonAudio.com. Look for **JUST FOR WOMEN**. Nothing was on them either. We do not know to which radio program she is referring. It is sad that a woman must embellish truth to prove her point! **I have come to the conclusion that lying is her way of coping with life.** Perhaps it was that association with A DRUNKEN father and grandfather, and being locked in a car that causes her to lie. Or maybe, being married to two alleged, "non-functioning" husbands for years and years

(according to her daughter) that dropped her into "never-never land." If Gail can speculate about us, I can speculate about her, too.

RIPLINGER "THE RIPPER"

Yes, GAIL RIPLINGER is ripping us with her sharp pen! Her attitude is surprising. All I did was call her on the phone and ask her if what was being said about her being married three times and divorced twice was true. As a public person, it was necessary for her to be truthful. **She assured my husband and me on the phone that she was married only once—EVER! WE BELIEVED HER! I wondered out loud to her WHY SHE DID NOT DEFEND HERSELF AGAINST SUCH LIES!! SHE SAID THAT GOD WOULD BE HER DEFENSE.** I thought, "My what a wonderful Christian women who could ignore all this slander and keep translating some unknown tongue into a Bible." At the time, we did not know she was a consummate liar!

RIPLINGER "THE DECEIVER"

THAT PHONE CONVERSATION WAS FRIENDLY, TALKING ABOUT SEVERAL SUBJECTS. We had no reason to think she was lying. FROM THEN ON, BECAUSE WE THOUGHT SHE WAS TELLING THE TRUTH, MY HUSBAND DEFENDED HER PRIVATELY & PUBLICALLY WHEN PEOPLE SAID DIFFERENTLY. WE SAID THEY WERE WRONG! People who knew he was defending her said my husband was either "lying or naive." Soon, after some inconsistency in her story, someone came to us (not found on any website, which we did not believe, because we believed her), we investigated OURSELVES. **AFTER our own PRIVATE INVESTIGATION, WE DISCOVERED SHE HAD DECEIVED US!**

It was then, we learned of someone who knew her as Mrs. Kaleda—a marriage she denied having. We learned that married name was used to write her previous books. We found two wedding pictures of her on her wedding day to the second husband whom, to us, she denied having married and whom her daughter said forsook her. I do not know if he forsook her or not, but it had to be AFTER they bought a house together and formed a non-profit corporation TOGETHER with another person.

I DO KNOW THAT SHE MET MIKE RIPLINGER A YEAR BEFORE SHE MARRIED HIM, according to the interview I had with Gail Riplinger in April 1995. I believe that had to be while she was still legally married to Kaleda who was #2. She married her third and present husband one month after the divorce from #2. She told me in our '95 interview that she knew Mike one year prior to their marriage. Her first husband is presently living with a woman who laughed when told he was said to be a "homosexual."

Now you may ask, what difference does all this make? The difference to me is her LYING. If she wants ten husbands, that's her business. If she writes fifteen books, I don't care. **BUT** when I ask a public figure (like Gail Riplinger) a direct question, and am lied to, I care deeply. This was an important question, because she influences hundreds of people. **TRUTH IS NOT ALWAYS EASY BUT IT IS RIGHT.**

Riplinger "THE WILD WOMAN"

Instead of admitting her deception, she has taken off like a wild banshee against Dr. Waite and me and others. NOW WHERE IS HER ASSURANCE THAT GOD WOULD BE HER DEFENSE? Where went all that spiritual piety? There is more—but I won't go "on and on." Keep posted and pray for us. My husband has defended himself, and is defending himself against her 61-page wild attacks! He is writing a book about it. Presently the title is A WARNING ON GAIL RIPLINGER'S KJB & MULTIPLE INSPIRATION HERESY (BFT #3464 @ \$13.00 + \$7.00 S&H). It should be published late in May.

RIPLINGER THE "CORRESPONDENT"

For some reason, GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER feels it was presumptuous for me to have considered myself a "FRIEND" of hers. I knew we were NOT close friends but we knew each other, and occasionally corresponded with each other—especially in the past. I remembered well a letter I received from her congratulating me on receiving an honorary degree from a school in South Dakota. My honorary degree is similar to Gail's. Only I don't go around calling myself "doctor" like she does. (In recent printed sources, it was mentioned that she received her honorary degree from a "large church." Whoever wrote that copy was mixed up. Churches do not bestow degrees, schools of learning do.)

RIPLINGER THE "FAMILIAR FRIEND"

The fact is in her 61-page diatribe against my husband, me, and others, she refers to me as "Vonnie." This shows me that at some time or other, she thought of me as a "friend," and I of her, not just an acquaintance. It has been many years since anyone has referred to me in public writing by my most intimate, personal name. It would be as if I referred to her as "little Gail" or "Rippey."

A CONFIDENTIAL LETTER?

Her daughter, her confidant, understood we were "friends" in the past. Quoting from an E-MAIL written by her daughter to my husband and me on July 21, 2009. BRYN RIPLINGER SHUTT, in speaking for

her mother, grieved: ". . . She would be crushed that **ONE OF HER FEW FRIENDS** did not reciprocate the love and admiration she felt for them. . . ." (EMPHASIS & bold underlining mine.) Bryn went on to say, that she (Bryn) would keep my letter confidential and not tell her mother, for her mother would be hurt as my letter would break her heart. **SO HOW DID HER MOTHER GET MY LETTER AFTER ALL? (BFT #3420 @ \$2.50 + \$3.00 S&H)**

SILLY "HOUSE NUMBER" FUSS

GAIL RIPLINGER IS MAKING A MAJOR FUSS THAT MY COMMUNICATION WAS SENT TO HER DAUGHTER'S HOUSE AND NOT TO HERS. BECAUSE I WANTED TO SEND MY LONG LETTER OF JULY 2009 (BFT #3420 @ \$2.50 + \$3.00 S&H) TO GAIL'S HOME, MY HUSBAND CALLED THE TELEPHONE COMPANY FOR THE RIPLINGER PHONE NUMBER, and got the HOUSE ADDRESS OF A RIPLINGER also. There was only one phone listed under "Riplinger" in Ararat, Virginia. We assumed it was the house number we were looking for. It turned out that it was the address of Bryn Riplinger Shutt who must go under her maiden name like the feminists do. (We did not want to send it to a box number.) We sent the letter 2nd Day UPS. That same day we sent the same letter by PDF to her computer. Later the letter was sent by first class mail to the box. So when Gail Riplinger says she did not receive my long letter, she is lying, or she must not look at ANY of her mail-EVER! I understand that the phone number is now removed from the telephone information. In case you want to know: **WHEN WE RECEIVE WRONG MAIL TO OUR HOUSE, WE SEE THAT IT IS DELIVERED TO THE PROPER PERSON, WE DO NOT PURPOSELY OPEN IT, AND READ IT, AND ANSWER IT.** But that is what Mrs. Riplinger's daughter did.

SILLY "NEXT-DAY MAIL" FUSS

WHILE I'M TALKING ABOUT THESE SILLY "IMPEACH" THE WITNESS" FUSSES, LET ME TELL YOU THAT my UPS LETTER was sent SECOND DAY AIR. Somehow in all our proof-reading, etc., the UPS "SECOND DAY" wording in my BFT UPDATE got changed to "NEXT DAY AIR." This is the letter that the daughter said she would never give to her mother and, if memory serves me, the mother said she didn't receive. Yet, Riplinger is answering every jot and tittle of a letter she said she did not receive!

A SISTER OR NOT A SISTER-THAT IS THE QUESTION

Speaking of "IMPEACHING" the witness! (a term I learned from Riplinger herself when I interviewed her in 1995). **In October of 2007, my husband and I phoned Riplinger, asking about the truth of the three marriages and two divorces. We were receiving e-mails telling us this. One of her proofs (that those e-mails about her other marriages were not true) was that she had "two sisters."** She said that the copies of the marriage licenses had no mention that she had "two sisters." This proved, according to her, that the e-mail information we were getting was false! Recently, as I was re-listening to that North Carolina interview made fifteen years ago, my ears pricked up. **In that 1995 interview, MRS. RIPLINGER SAID SHE HAD NO SIBLINGS! But in 2007, she said she had two sisters.** (Those "sisters" were the daughters of her father by another woman.) The best I can understand it, SHE HAD TWO HALF SISTERS.

Of interest to me TODAY is how she knew she had two sisters in October 2007; but in April of 1995, (the interview date), she could not remember the year of her mother's death or her exact age when she gave birth to her only daughter. (She stated she was 39 or 40!) These are dates (my mother's death and my 5 children's births) that are ingrained in my mind.-and I'm supposed to be "wonky"!

WRONG NAME ON RIGHT "ANCHOR" ARTICLE

BECAUSE OF OUR FRIENDSHIP (as casual as it was), **I WAS VERY TORN AND UPSET. Yet, I FELT I HAD TO REVEAL THE FINDINGS CONCERNING GAIL'S PREVARICATIONS CONCERNING HER MARRIAGES AND "BACKWARDS TRANSLATING."** (Then later about Dr. Robinson.) We had much of the information for over a year, maybe two, before I wrote Mrs. Riplinger, and before I spoke to the DBS women in July of 2009. (By the way, that 21-page "letter" had nothing what-so-ever to do with Matthew 18.) I felt like the prophets must have felt when they had a message that they did not want to deliver. If I could have found a boat to Tarsus, I would have gone a-board! My feelings were very convoluted. It was very difficult. In fact, it made me ill. That is the truth.

Have you forgotten, the reason I felt it was necessary to come out with our findings last July (2009)? IT IS NEVER MENTIONED BY HER. Mrs. Riplinger's was personally mortified that an article, that she did not write, had her name on it. She was so UPSET over **that lie** that she made **Pastor Mickey Carter** (would she call him "wonky"?) mail an immediate correction to all the readers who saw the lie in his Hainsport, Florida "Anchor" paper. (It seems like Pastor Carter and Mrs. Riplinger are joined at the hip on most (if not all) of her strange "inspiration" **HERESY**.)

RIPLINGER'S HAMMER AND TONGS ATTACK

EXPOSING MRS. R. WAS ONE OF THE MOST DIFFICULT THINGS I HAVE EVER HAD TO DO. I KNEW SHE WOULD COME AT ME WITH HAMMER AND TONGS TO SMEAR MY HUSBAND AND ME FROM ONE END OF THE EARTH TO THE OTHER. SOMEHOW I KNEW SHE WAS NOT GOING TO DEPEND ON GOD TO BE HER DEFENSE IN THIS MATTER!! From her present tirades against us, **it has turned out that untruthfulness is Mrs. Riplinger's modus operandi.** Dr. DiVietro discovered this trait in her book, HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Others saw it in her NEW AGE BIBLE

VERSIONS. David Cloud exposed her *NEW AGE BIBLE VERSIONS* book. She wrote a hate book against him and others.

RIPLINGER IN A PANIC

Riplinger seems to think that Dr. Waite and I want to stop people from purchasing her HAZARDOUS book.

That is not true. If book sales go down, her present husband might have to go back to fixing air conditioners for Sears! She seems to be writing against us in a panic. **As for the Waites, my husband and I do not live on the BFT book sales.** Presently every penny goes to our BFT ministry. Our books are a part of the ministry. We take no funds from them personally. **OUR Bible For Today MINISTRY IS ALWAYS IN NEED!** It is a hand-to-mouth daily walk.

MRS. RIPLINGER'S WRONG VIEW OF INSPIRATION

Because we have not read any of Riplinger's books for years, we did not realize the EXTENT of her drift from the true course of Biblical Inspiration to her skewed defense of a verbal plenary inspiration of the King James Bible and other Bibles as well. We did not know that she believes that the KING JAMES BIBLE TRANSLATORS were led by the Holy Spirit exactly like the original prophets and New Testament writers were. That is my understanding of her teaching. She has a very, very different view of what "INSPIRATION" really is. She twists the meaning of Hebrew and Greek words. Yet HUNDREDS OF PREACHERS FOLLOW HER!

HEAR DR. WAITE EXPLAIN TRUE BIBLE INSPIRATION

GET A RECENT RADIO PROGRAM by Dr. Waite and me where he explains what **BIBLICAL INSPIRATION** really is. **Order JFWCD/127 @ \$10.00 + \$3.00 S&H.** When you order his new **Q & A** book, **SEE PAGES 5-6 in THE FIRST 200 QUESTIONS ANSWERED BY DR. D. A. WAITE** (BFT# 3309-01 @ \$13.00 + \$7.00 S&H). There are several answers to the "INSPIRATION QUESTIONS" in different ways in different places in his book.

.....moo#n.....

A WARNING ON GAIL RIPLINGER'S KJB & MULTIPLE INSPIRATION HERESY

by **PASTOR D. A. WAITE, Th.D., Ph.D.**

(BFT #3464 @ \$13.00 + \$7.00 S&H)

**PASTOR WAITE REFUTES THE SCURRILOUS ATTACKS GAIL RIPLINGER THROWS
AT MANY WHO DARE TO CROSS HER.**

IN HER 61-PAGE TRAITORS TIRADE, RIPLINGER LASHED OUT WITH POISON PEN AT THE BIBLE FOR TODAY MINISTRIES, DR. & MRS. D. A. WAITE OF COLLINGSWOOD, NJ, their oldest son, D. A. Waite, Jr. (the author of *The Defined King James Bible* footnotes and *THE DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENT*), THE DEAN BURGON SOCIETY, THE BIBLE FOR TODAY BAPTIST CHURCH, AS WELL AS ADULLAM FILMS.

HER TIRADE VILIFICATION

Her tirade vilifies and misrepresents individuals such as H. D. Williams, Kirk DiVietro, Phil Stringer, Maurice Robinson, David Cloud, Jack Schaap, Chris Pinto, Frederick Scrivener, Theodore Beza, and Robert Barnett.

HER SLANDER & SLEAZE CIRCULATED

Because this modern-day JEZEBEL has circulated her sleaze around the world, Dr. Waite thought it was time to expose her lies and inconsiderate slander. Recently he wrote, "*Gail Riplinger does not operate in a vacuum. She has a life-history that must be revealed and examined in order to assess properly the 61-page attack she has written entitled TRAITORS.*" With viperous words, she equates Dr. Waite and others, and all that they stand for--to Hell-bound Judas Iscariot! WHAT SLANDER!

IN GOD'S CARE,